

Neighborhoods of The People - A New Norm

(thanks for your attention @namzezam, erez elul, comcomist)

Controlled by loans and interest, we, the 99%, are more powerful as self organized customers than as citizens in our democracies of masses.

Politically, our will can never be realized only compromised, but *commercially* we always have the choice between (non-monopolized) products.

Conclusion: To improve our living we can ***boycott services or products for getting equal ownership over their sellers, producers or providers.***



More <http://is-with.wikidot.com/6-points>

Sometime we think banks are inevitable and so we join them (via deposits, loans and interest) and next we pay taxes for unwanted discriminatory and violent state services protecting few owners in social injustice in which we are partnering

- (Discriminatory state services, such as racists, and/or based on language ethnic, religion, family, familiarity, connection etc).



We believe banks are inevitable because they are protected by our state's central bank, which prints money given massively to the few, which are protected by the state's police, army, law and media makers, which are surrounded by lobbies and good job givers etc...

.. BUT using “coins of respect” such as CommunalCoin in one or more levels of clusters of Common Companies (ComCom) is a legal alternative reducing the gap between the few owners of "most of the production" and the many costumers affected from their decisions ...



A **Common Company** (ComCom) is an owners group, of which ownership is based on unchangeable agreement between its owners matching the group's criteria and being either peers (equal-owners/co-owners/associates) or not, where

- **1.** all the peers equally holding together **$d \cdot 100$** percent of the group and their number is defined independently by them alone,
- **2.** each peer may only be a person or ComCom, but a non-peer may be in addition a non-ComCom body,
- **3.** peer-coin, being either a coin of respect or money, reflects the value of ownership of one peer and hence of the group too, but only as the coin's price ranges proportionally to one peer's power in the group and only as the group has the first right to buy it,
- **4.** only a single holding position per owners is allowed through all the holding layers of such groups.
- **5.** **The d** , being one or smaller positive number, represents the *decentralization* property of the group, **either** where **d** is **constant**,
 - **or** where any incoming to the group or to its sub groups is first a peer in the group, and *the number of all shares in the group equals* the number of members, being the owners in the group or its sub groups, and the *number of shares held by one peer* is one or smaller positive number defined independently only by the group's peers.



Y is the price of one share in the group.

X is the number of shares of all **peers**.

T is the value of the group.

I is the number of all shares in the group.

C is the number of the peers in the group.

N is the number of shares held by one peer.

P is the value of a **peer-coin** held by one peer.

$$X = C * N,$$


$$I = X / d,$$

$$T = Y * I,$$

$$T = Y * X / d,$$

$$P = Y * N.$$


If $NewC=C+b$



then $NewN=N*C/NewC$



If $NewY=Y*s$



Is $s \geq NewC/C$?



*Note: $NewC=C+b$ when C is increased by B and then the $NewN=N*C/NewC$ and hence the peers can establish a policy allowing that happening only when $NewP \geq P=N*Y$, where $NewY \geq Y*NewC/C$, to be checked and rebalanced with any change of C .*

When the comcoms are grouped as node comcoms, being peer owners of another comcom, which is their cluster comcom,
each node is also a department or its representative in the cluster one,
each comcom issues its **peer coins** and
each cluster comcom issues its **object coins** to be used by its nodes for trading products, services or holdings between the nodes.
For mantling equality through many such clustering layers, the **d** of the cluster comcom is one and the peers' number is averagely the same (I.e. between 2/3-4/3).

Such comcom clustering structure allows
migration between nodes of the nodes' peer owners, while their cluster is maintained well and healthy, as
the satisfaction of the peers is transparent in the cluster scope and being evaluated by the nodes' peers-coins, and as
the non-taxable movement of objects (being products, services or holdings) between the nodes is evaluated by the object-coins of the cluster.

Communal Coin Cycle

$(\text{Price} + \text{Dividend}) / \text{Gradient}$



More: <http://communal-coin.wikidot.com/blog:2> permitted under AGPL