

Be free and equal to become stronger - every buyer can get organized in groups for becoming equal shareholder over part of the sellers: A proposal for regulations for holding shares equally, under a contract and with 6 points limit - creating *a new balance between individuals and their groups*, for a bottom-up buildup of an alternative stock exchange, for example, of consumers who possess equally parts of the sellers.



The premise of this short article is that the possibility of aggregation unlimited power (power administrations, religious, economic, or other) is the factor of evil – hence the purpose must always be decentralization and the means should be implementing regulations for alternative stock exchange based on [these](#) six points.

Conceptually: to be ComComIst is to be both *a free capitalist and an equal socialist*. (ComCom stands for COMMon COMpany) For further details search: **Yes I Am Angry**.

Theoretically: Holding equally shares causes competitive teams, but solidarity in each team, transparency of members' satisfaction with cross-fertilization by the passing individuals between the groups and by merging of small groups in equal holding in bigger group, while struggling against the holders of most of the means of production, but no longer, or not only, in (splited) homogeneous and closed groups of interest, such as of workers, but in open, diverse and huge groups, such as of buyers, which is in correlation with the mapping of the "occupy" protestors drawing only one main line between the 99% (consumers) against the 1% (holders of most of the means and holdings of production).

Politically: A wise politician would push for limiting all governmental acts in and around nationalization, privatization, bailout or cessation of debt, to first making those for whom such act would be performed (i.e. the people and not the few having enormous power) becoming equal shareholders in the beneficiaries of such governmental acts. For further details search **GovComCom**.

Although the target seems far the beginning of the realization is possible at any time, by equal shareholding in [mailing lists](#), users becoming equal shareholders in social network, readers in news paper, buyers in network marketing and/or depositors in bank, as a [well orchestrated](#) boycotting is [buildup](#) to force equal shareholding in parts of these and other entities.

Why holding equal shares like in stock market and not only having equal vote like in cooperative or in democratic country and what exactly is the difference?

- Independently, the equal shareholder in his exit sets the price of all shares of the group and hence of the executive power and of all other members, which gives a completely new power to each individual and to minority in the group,
- Both - the private interest (by holding a share) and the common interest (by holding it equally) originate from exactly the same position of equal shareholding,
- The equal shareholder is in a WinWin position of entrance to and exit from the group.

How to protect the group or what is the shareholding restraint?

- Like in open source, modification of any of the six points in the contract is forbidden, since selling share is only allowed to the buyer confirming the points and hence for example a corporation can not buy the whole company.
- Holding such share is committed to fixed criteria - for example, the prohibition of racism or per each shareholder a maximum of assets holdings is set.
- The ranges for change in the price of a share is proportional to the member's power when is multiplied by a constant reflecting the authorization for fluctuations of the company value, for example the fluctuations in price would range from \$9 to \$11, with 10 equal shareholders, when share price equal \$10 and the value of the constant is one.
- The group has the privilege to be the first buyer of any share.

- Through all layers of holding, one person can hold no more than one equal shareholding (a **peer owner** position) and added together, the number of then peers is set autonomically only by the peers and all the peers can own the whole unit or only a portion of it,
 - either where the ratio of the peers' portion (d , as $1 \leq d < 0$) is a constant,
 - or where the entrance to the group is first to the peer owner position and only from there with others one could be holding a non peer position (as an **ordinary owner**).

The idea takes into account two types of currencies: **peer-coin** assessing equal shareholding (of the peer owners) and **object coin** assessing services, products and holdings, where equal shareholders are holding little companies which equally hold together a bigger company (see [this](#) picture) and in parallel are, or represent, departments in the bigger one, so that

- the objects moving between departments are evaluated by object coins,
- the holding in the groups representing the departments are evaluated by peer coins and
- both type of coin can evaluate each other.

An open question: Is the personal agreement enough for being connected in an autonomous system (outside from taxation too) by peer coin and object coin and could such coins be produced like BitCoin?

Conclusion: *We can create a free economy from the capital owners and without a government, by a new economic system, belonging only to the public and every one can immediately begin do it!*

For creating more diversification, distribution and clarifications, please connect to become a peer owner in the [consultant comcom](#).

By the idea's developer and under [this \(cc\)](#) license.